

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80060
Title of the Manuscript:	To evaluate the practices and attitude regarding material of choice and method amongst dentist for repair of defective restoration of tooth in Vidarbha: A cross sectional study
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p><u>Introduction</u> Rewrite the last paragraph, because the aims are different from the abstract and should not be referenced</p> <p><u>Materials and methods</u> Rewriter the inclusion criteria adding more detail for example: years of practice and area of specialization or expertise. Include exclusion criteria. Insert the questions in the main article.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Check the key words, there are words were not found in the descriptors. Insert references and your numbers in all paragraphs, please check it all. There are many paragraphs with no references.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper is presented in a simple way. I would like to suggest authors enhance the title. Suggestion for a title: "Evaluate the practices and attitudes regarding the material and method applied for dentists in Vidarbha to repair defective restoration: a cross-sectional questionnaire study" The materials and methods section needs to be rewritten in some specific points.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Paula Tulio Manfron
Department, University & Country	Brazil