

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80047
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Efficacy of knotless (polyglyconate) over conventional (poliglecaprone 25) suture as a wound closure material in mandibular impacted third molar surgery (MI3M).
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an excellent manuscript, and I approve of its publication. It is comprehensive and completely justifies the title 'Comparative Efficacy of knotless (polyglyconate) over conventional (poliglecaprone 25) suture as a wound closure material in mandibular impacted third molar surgery (MI3M). No technical errors and approved for publication.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Medha Sansanwal
Department, University & Country	Manav Rachna Dental College, India