

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80040
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Effect of Plaque Removal Efficacy of Toothbrush with Bristle Containing Neem and Charcoal for Maintaining Oral Hygiene: A Clinical Study.
Type of the Article	Action Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hypothesis to be written 2. Hypthesis testing to be reflected with acceptance or rejection of null hypothesis 3. As samples are independent, Independent Sample t test to be applied (The Independent Samples t Test is commonly used to test the following: Statistical differences between the means of two groups) 4. Effectiveness to be evaluated by showing the actual measurement readings (actual readings are not shown in this Paper) 5. Feasibility study is also needed to be included 6. Limitations of the study is also important 7. Conclusion must be precise with the support of analysis outcome 8. Enough Analysis is needed 9. Data validity and Reliability also to be checked 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Literature review can be improved with concurrency As this research is action type of research then how it is carried out to be explained in brief.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naim Shaikh
Department, University & Country	Dr. D. Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, India