

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JPRI_80040   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparative Effect of Plaque Removal Efficacy of Toothbrush with Bristle Containing Neem and Charcoal for Maintaining Oral Hygiene: A Clinical Study. |
| Type of the Article      | Clinical Based  |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>This is a unique piece of research work. Quire commendable with the research approach taken by the author. This was an excellent report on very thorough research. The literature review was thorough, the methodology was painstakingly thorough and incorporated the use of sufficient numbers of samples in dust size analysis and explosion tests. There was clear scientific and practicality to support the recommendation. It is a well-written, needed, and useful summary of the current status of "data publication" from a certain perspective.</p> <p>haps this is a first study on toothbrushes<br/>n bristle having the extract of Neem and Charcoal<br/>plied to evaluate the effectiveness of plaque removal<br/>cacy for maintaining oral hygiene.<br/>The study is well structured.</p> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p><b>NOT RECOMMENDED.</b><br/>This is an excellent report, and I approve of its publication. It is comprehensive and completely justifies the authors" recommendation</p>   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | <p>RECOMMENDED for Publication</p>   |   |

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                   |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>J Satpathy</b>                 |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Srinivas University, India</b> |