

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80039
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy Of Modified Betty Neuman Nursing Theory To Develop Evidence Based Pandemic Care Pathway For Preadolescents In The School
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments DEAR EDITOR, THIS MANUSCRIPT NEED COMPULSORY AND 100 PERCENT REVISION.	A. Dear author, the entire manuscript needs to be drafted again. B. I am sorry to say, but the manuscript has tons of errors in context to grammar, scripting and editing etc.(A few has been mentioned below); - Improper sentence framing from abstract to discussion. - Grave issues regarding use of tense in the manuscript. - Maintain homogeneity whether to use "bold" or "no bold" for titles like Pic 1. - Do not use Hyphen after Colon. - etc C. The title needs to be reframed. D. In addition to the above; 1. Title should pose the word "children". 2. The manuscript needs to be reframed for english grammar. 3. If unable, Kindly consult a professional english proof reader as there are countless issues in your manuscript. 4. The way the references have been written is a blunder. Kindly follow any one pattern for all 34 references.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aksh Chahal
Department, University & Country	Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), India