

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80036
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment and comparison of temporomandibular joint for occurrence and severity of disorders in adult cases with unilateral cleft lip and palate and non-cleft class I– An observational study
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	I find this study very appropriate, interesting and useful. I would comment that, additionally, if possible, the use of magnetic resonance imaging of the TMJ to fully assess the position and anatomy of the articular discs would be of great help. This is because the disc position as well as the general anatomy of the TMJs, in case of being previously altered, without symptoms or major clinical changes, as in the initial stages, for which they are not detectable by clinical or anamnestic detection indices, could alter the results.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Also exclude from the study patients with the different types of microsomies. Regarding this point, it is important to take it into account given the possibility of not detecting some very mild cases of microsomia or with few characteristics, which could be recruited for the study and present bias due to the already altered anatomy.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Interesting study, given the background of little literature on the subject. The multiple factors that influence the development of DTM's have achieved that only the most relevant are taken into account for studies. The hypothesis poses a condition that often remains in the translation of other results or in open speculation.  Meets the requirements for study and subsequent monitoring. It has ethics committee approval.	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arnulfo Andrade Castro</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cirugía Oral y Maxilofacial Hospital Universitario Mayor MEDERI, Colombia</b>