

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80032
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative evaluation of the efficacy of Hydrocortisone suppository and Durvadi Gudavarti in Raktarsha: A Management Protocol
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	For any comparative study there should be double blinded randomized clinical trials should be preferred clinical study protocol. There are no any previous study data available for gudavarti lep. Hydrocortisone based suppositories available in the market are in combination with other drugs so the effective comparison can be made until single drug ointment is available. Exclusion criteria should include those who are not consenting for conservative management. Lab investigations should include PT(INR) and Liver function test. Cilinical trials are to be reistered in CTRI (clinica trial registry in India)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Consent should be taken from patients and relative well before intervention. Institutional ethical clearance should be obtained	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Grammatical errors should be corrected.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Institutional ethical clearance should be obtained	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atul Kumar Sharma
Department, University & Country	Govt.Medical College, India