

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80031
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study of Efficacy of Jatamansi (Nardostachys jatamansi DC) and Imipramine in Generalized Anxiety Disorder (G.A.D.) – A Randomized Controlled Double Blind Clinical Trial
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the study design age mentioned is 20-50 years while in the inclusion criteria it says 20-40 years. Please clear the discrepancy. 2. Detail of sample size calculation needs to be added, Like the criteria taken for calculation, any prevalence rate, etc 3. Also mention if equal no. of males and females would be included. 4. In exclusion criteria point no. 2, I think no word should be not there. 5. Points included in exclusion criteria don't need to be repeated In exclusion criteria. 6. Also in the line diagram of the plan of work add P.T. follow up after 30 days of completion of the trial 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shweta Mangal
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Gandhi University of Medical Science Technology, India