

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80031
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study of Efficacy of Jatamansi (Nardostachys jatamansi DC) and Imipramine in Generalized Anxiety Disorder (G.A.D.) – A Randomized Controlled Double Blind Clinical Trial
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Drug imipramine is an tricyclic antidepressant and not an anxiolytic drug. This wont be the drug which is being prescribed commonly for anxiety disorder. It may not be appropriate to compare it for its anti-anxiety efficacy. Kindly give a reference for selecting this drug.</p> <p>Dosage of any psychotropic drugs is always fixed on titration from a starting dosage based on the patient's response as each individual require different dosage for symptoms control. Using a fixed dosage may not be appropriate. Kindly mention about the initiation and monitoring fo the drug in the protocol What are the anticipated ill effects of either drugs upon which the patent might be removed form the trial? What about the patients who require other drugs for co existing mental illnesses?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Kindly provide reference for most of the statements in the introductory column	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sathyamurthy P
Department, University & Country	Sri Ramachandra University, India