

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80016
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSIOLOGICAL ASPECT OF RAKTAMOKSHANA – A CRITICAL REVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Line 4 of Para 2 of Introduction states that 'The <i>yakrita</i> (liver) and <i>pleeha</i> (spleen) are the two main organs.' The context in which these organs are considered as main should be clearly highlighted.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">Suitable reference should be added to 5th para of Discussion section where active chemicals present in leech saliva have been mentioned.In the 5th line of Conclusion section it has been suggested that '<i>rakta mokshana</i> can be used as a preventive measure to avoid the spread of blood-borne infections'. It's not clear how will <i>rakta mokshana</i> 'prevent' blood borne infections.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In second last para of section Results, the phrase used '<i>rakta mokshana (Kushta)</i>' does not clarify itself. Here 'Kushta' should be quoted as an example.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">Various techniques of <i>rakta mokshana</i> have been discussed in 1st para of Discussion section. This description will be more useful if put in Results section.Section titles as 'Results' can more appropriately be titled as 'Observations'	
Optional/General comments	Section 'NOTE' given after section 'Conclusion' can either be removed or merged with the 'Conclusion' section.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parveen Kumar
Department, University & Country	Dayanand Ayurvedic College, India