

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80008
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Primary Care Physician in COVID-19 Response, A Review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the article is especially relevant at the time of the corona virus pandemic. This health problem has been going on for more than 2 years, new strains are emerging and the number of victims is increasing worldwide. The article contains: Abstract, Introduction, Challenges in Primary Care During COVID-19 Outbreak, Recommendations for Better Outcomes, Conclusion and References. Worldwide, various functions designated for general practice, such as screening, education, and home quarantine monitoring, are critical. Primary care physicians could triage patients to specialized hospitals for proper care using integrated and coordinated healthcare delivery systems, reducing hospital overcrowding. Health decision makers must recognise the importance of responding to this crisis from the standpoint of primary health. Despite a rapidly growing body of literature on COVID-19 disease, primary care physicians are dissatisfied with the COVID-19 pandemic's management. Evaluating the effectiveness of interventions and rigorously assessing disease response, including training and supervision of health workers, accessibility, and medication supplies, was recommended, as was the need for clinical audits to evaluate the structure, process, and outcomes of PC action plans.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	There is no any.	
Optional/General comments	There is no any.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danelina Emilova Vacheva
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Pleven, Bulgaria