

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80005
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation and Management of Cerebral Vasospasm after subarachnoid Hemorrhage
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. There are numerous " Grammatical " mistakes, so, corrections and language editing are obligatory (Major).	
Minor REVISION comments	2. There are many publications with near- the same title. So what are the innovative issues which will differentiate this article from the others? (Minor). 3. 4. The following sentence in the " Abstract "; "The constriction of the big and medium-sized cerebral arteries following aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (aSAH) is a well-described condition that most commonly affects the anterior circulation supplied by the internal carotid arteries" is too long and bad-written. Please, reconstruct it into more than one sentence or summarize it (Minor). 5. The following sentence in the " Conclusions "; " The constriction of the big and medium-sized cerebral arteries following aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage is without doubt one of the most serious conditions, despite being rare case it's high mortality that attracted most of the attention, a lot of management techniques are available" is too long and bad-written. Please, reconstruct it into more than one sentence or summarize it (Minor). 6. There are a few " References " that were indexed but the indexing of the remaining " References " was neglected. Please, review and add the defective indexing. (Minor).	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasser Mohammed Hassanain Elsayed
Department, University & Country	Egyptian Ministry of Health (MOH), Egypt