

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80004
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on Assessment and Evaluation of Vitiligo in Primary Care
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	NALP1, is also known as CARD7, DEFCAP, and NAC, and this should be mentioned in a review like this one. On the other hand, the reference <u>Jin et al. N Engl J Med</u> , doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa061592. NALP1 in vitiligo-associated multiple autoimmune disease <u>should</u> be cited as soon as NALP1 is mentioned. This reference cannot be missed in a review like this one.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please, correct citations. Some of them, such as Mattoo et al.28's study, or. Balaban et al.13, are not correct. ABBREVIATIONS such as NSV or TYR SHOULD BE DEFINED.</p> <p>Punctuation should be revised. Some final points are missing.</p> <p>About the sentence: "UV light passes through the epidermis, where it is absorbed by melanin, and then enters the dermis" BE CAREFUL ABOUT THAT!! THERE ARE ALSO DERMAL MELANOCYTES, AND THE UV PENETRATION DEPENDS ON THE WAVENUMBER (UVA or UVB)</p> <p>Section Vitiligo disease activity score. Repair the paragraph, new lines unnecessary</p> <p>Unified test size</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The sentence: Vitiligo affects about half of persons under the age of 20, and nearly 70–80 percent of people under the age of 30.[4] is EXAGGERATED.. Re-consider it.</p> <p>Some picture or scheme concerning Vitiligo, reflected-light colorimeter and so on would be very helpful. This review contains only text, and this seems to be poor for a subject such as vitiligo.</p> <p>CONCLUSION: It is topic and relatively poor. Three methods have been discussed. At least, it is expected a comparison of the 3 methods, concerning validity or complementarity. Any comment about this would be welcome.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	F. Solano
Department, University & Country	University of Murcia, Spain