

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79952
Title of the Manuscript:	Determiningb the Role of Minerals and Trace Elements in Diabetes and Insulin Resistance
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Comments on title / abstract/ references The title was wisely chosen to match with the aim of study and results. The research title is new and not pre-tracked. The abstract was writing carefully and abstract of the method of work and results was presented in a distinctive way. References are different and new, the research supported by references.</p> <p>Comments on key words and introduction The key words in the research match with all study. The introduction was short and clear to the reader, and there is a full explanation of the research and supported by references. The introduction was very well written and gave sufficient explanation to the research and included various references.</p> <p>Comments on Material and method The process of method is very clear. A brief and complete explanation of the method of work for research. A clear way to work for the reader.</p> <p>Comments on discussion and conclusions The discussion was wide ranging that contained all research results. The discussion was completely identical to the references and contains a full explanation of the results. The discussion were adequately supported in terms of references. The conclusion is written in a qualified manner and the conclusion answer the results in the research.</p> <p>My comments The research topic is new, as well as coherent, between the introduction, method of work and discussion it was written in a clear manner and supported by modern references, I see it is very excellent research for publication</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	I have no minor notes	
Optional/General comments	I have no optional notes	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Chyad Abbas AL-Barqaawee
Department, University & Country	The Norwegian Directorate of health in Oslo, Norway