

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79948
Title of the Manuscript:	BRONCHIAL ASTHMA IN THE ERA OF COVID-19
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	They Authors say that it is research not a review. But, they didn't incorporate compulsory elements of a research like the study design, study population and results. How is possible to proceed with discussion in the absence of results. Results should have at least been reflected in the discussion if separately presenting them is found unnecessary. Statistical analyses are indicated, but their outputs/results are not presented.	
Minor REVISION comments	Some formatting, grammatical and other minor mistakes are indicated in track changes in the document. Look at the document	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No ethical issues reflected	National Disaster Risk Management Commission (NDRMC)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Temesgen Tadesse Yitayih
Department, University & Country	National Disaster Risk Management Commission (NDRMC), Ethiopia