

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79943
Title of the Manuscript:	SIMULTANEOUS ESTIMATION OF BILASTINE AND MONTELUKAST IN BULK BY RP-HPLC AND ASSESSMENT OF ITS APPLICABILITY IN MARKETED TABLET DOSAGE FORM
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Abstract -In results, correct µg.ml as µg/mL - -In introduction, in literature survey author have declared that there is no rp- HPLC method for quantification of montelukast and bilastine by rp- HPLC .but there are methods in literature like 1. Chandra, U., M. Kumar, S. Sharma, and P. Gupta. "Development and Validation of RP-HPLC Method for In-Vitro Dissolution Testing of Bilastine and Montelukast Sodium Tablets". <i>International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Drug Research</i>, Vol. 13, no. 3, May 2021, pp. 281-7, doi:10.25004/IJPSDR.2021.130307. 2. International Journal of All Research Education and Scientific Methods (IJARESM), ISSN: 2455-6211 Volume 9, Issue 4, April -2021, Impact Factor: 7.429, Available online at: www.ijaresm.com IJARESM Publication, India >>>> www.ijaresm.com Page 2830 Development and Validation of Analytical Methods for Simultaneous Estimation of Bilastine and Montelukast Sodium in Combined Tablet Dosage Form Saloni Kothari1 , Nachiketa Pandya2 , Neetu Dharu3 .check it once n report in literature survey -in preparation of standard stock, add were weighed accurately n transferred in line.. -clearly mention stock solution and working solution concentration..Is 200 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL working concentration? Then what is stock concentration? -is retention time rsd <0.5 is acceptance criteria ? .pls mention the reference? and tailing factor should be <1.5 not 2 -in linearity, respective concentrations has to be prepared from 2000 µg/mL of bilastine and 1000 µg/ml of montelukast...how can authors prepare 200, 220, 240 and 260 µg/ml by diluting 200 µg/ml in 10ml volumetric flask??.by your explanation of procedure, it will results in 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26 µg/ml Justify your answer?? - Correct the above calculation preparation of stock from dosage form and test solution for % recovery by spile method - Ensuing or resulting ?? -in precision, try to clarify about interchangeable working conditions in repeatability? Precints? Justify it.. -, % accuracy, in table change , %accuracy to %recovery -robustness study, other system suitability parameters also should mention. -Discussion, try to compare the published methods for simultaneous quantification of montelukast and bilastine with present method and how your work is more superior -Replace µg/ml with µg/mL in complete manuscript</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>-Abstract Rewrite intermediate precision < 2 at three as intermediate precision was< 2 at three -Introduction is an unmet need ---is it correctly used? Verify and replace with correct word -.no need of reporting results once again in discussion part.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	- As per ICH guidelines, studying stability indicating nature of developed method made compulsory, so, try to include forced degradation studies also	
----------------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gollu Gowri
Department, University & Country	Maharajah's College of Pharmacy, India