

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79939
Title of the Manuscript:	Meibomian gland dysfunction causing dry eye syndrome in computer users
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work was written carelessly with a lot of typos, misspellings, including in the names of the authors quoted, multiple font changes, and required English correction. There is discrepancy of dates in the abstract- (between Jan 2016- Dec 2020) and between 2014-2020, please verify or explain why. In many places in the introduction and discussions the topic of pandemic covid is raised, unless I am mistaken, this began at the end of 2019, so please do not explain everything with the pandemic, concentrate on the indicated period of time or change it. In Methods section is worth to mention how many articles were enrolled. The Result section is written too superficially...the table is not enough. Please provide more data. I suggest to add more information in the table 1, for example Author or title of the publication. Unfortunately, there is lack of many information in the table so it is difficult to make any conclusion. In Discussion Section please provide more comperative comments, which are results from the cited articles. I have also comment to the references...why are you cited article about bipolar disorders? Another inappropriate citation is no 10, contact lenses cause problems but it is not the topic of the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dominika Wróbel-Dudzińska
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Lublin, Poland, Poland