

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79939
Title of the Manuscript:	Meibomian gland dysfunction causing dry eye syndrome in computer users
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>From the abstract, in background authors mention about COVID-19, but no explanation at all in the article. There is also Inconsistency about the time mentioned of article that got in to the reviewed, in objective it is stated 2016 – 2020), while in the method is from 2014 -2020.</p> <p>In the introduction, the authors cannot explain the background about Digital works and its association with Meibomian Gland Dysfunction (MGD), only mention about its association with Dry Eye Disease (DED). It should be systematically explained how computer works can affect blinking and the MGD will make instability of the tear film that will result in DED.</p> <p>Table 1 cannot be read due to low resolution</p> <p>In the discussion, no need to give highlight for Tear Break Up Time, it should be just narrated as other variables that mention in the methods.</p> <p>The conclusion is not summarized all the content that already written before. If the authors mention about prevention, it should be written in the article then summarized in the conclusion. The authors should find more publication that needed to be written in the content.</p> <p>The author did not cite the publication by The International Workshop on Meibomian gland dysfunction diagnosis subcommittee in their references but they state it in the article.</p> <p>Please search more about the references, especially for references no 10. It should be cited from the full text of the academic journals.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	A lot of typo such as computers, astheopia instead of asthenopia, and confusing sentences. Please write more systematic explanation that easier for the reader to understand the content of this article	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Need revision before published.	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ariesanti Tri Handayani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Udayana University, Indonesia</b>