

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79883
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF ALPHA-AMYLASE AND ALPHA- GLUCOSIDASE INHIBITORY POTENTIAL OF AQUEOUS SEED EXTRACT OF TRIGONELLA FOENUM AND MORINGA OLEIFERA - AN IN VITRO STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Just it need minor revision	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Reread the article to avoid the Grammatical, typographical & spelling mistakes and personal pronounces, use past tense and third person throughout the manuscript.2. Re write it in Materials and Methods,, I prefer to put more information3. In the text uniform the style of references writing either by numbering system or by authors name and year or any other system that allowed by the journal guidelines but it must be in uniform style4. Where is the experimental design ,please try to clarify it more in the paper5. Put the statistical analysis explanation alone as subject in the paper.6. In the discussion try to more discuss the information with results,7. What is the name of instrument that was used for analysis of the herb	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The percentage of plagiarism in x checker system is just 9% ..if it allowed ratio in ur journal system I have no any problem. It is accepted percentage in my opinion	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nadia Abdulkarim Salih
Department, University & Country	University of Sulaimani, Iraq