

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79881
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Permeation Enhancers used in the Formulation of Transdermal Drug Delivery System
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The in-text citations were numbered inappropriately. For example, after ref. [1] and [2] are ref. [49], and then [15], [16], [17]. Please revise them.</li><li>2. The ref. [3] to [14] cannot be found in the main text.</li><li>3. For each penetration enhancer, the authors should provide examples of their use in previous studies. The authors should use the data from these studies to highlight how the penetration enhancers affect drug penetration.</li><li>4. In Table 1, the formulation type for all the examples should be included. There were no formulation types in some drugs, such as diclofenac, quercetin, nystatin, and curcumin.</li><li>5. Some figures can be obtained from previous studies to highlight the effects of penetration enhancers on the drug penetration</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The manuscript described various penetration enhancers and their effects on drug penetration. Overall, the manuscript was appropriately structured and written. However, it can be improved following the comments mentioned above.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Van-An Duong</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Gachon University, South Korea</b>