

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_79881 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A Review on Permeation Enhancers used in the Formulation of Transdermal Drug Delivery System |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none">In page 2, the author stated that "Penetration enhancers improve the amount of free water molecules between the bilayer, leading to an improvement of the polar drug diffusion cross section". It's unclear what the author meant by "bilayer." This explanation for permeation enhancement is extremely strange. Furthermore, this approach necessitates a reference.In page 3 the author described DMSO as odourless but did not provide a source for this information. The truth is that DMSO has a distinct garlic onion odour!Poor English writing with numerous grammatical errors throughout the manuscript makes it difficult for the reader to understand the author's intention. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none">To add value to the manuscript, newer skin permeation enhancers should be discussed.All sections that are not the author's opinion should have references added.The author should revise the reference list to include more recent references. | |
| Optional/General comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none">The article lacks any new ideas/approaches regarding the topic discussed. | |

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name: | Maged Kotb Elsayad |
| Department, University & Country | Al-Azhar University, Egypt |