

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79850
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk factors for near sightedness during early life, childhood and adolescence
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The font size of the references shown as 22 and 25 in the text should make smaller 2. Reference should be cited in the second sentence of the first paragraph and last second sentence of the second paragraph in the 'Gene- Environmental interaction' section. Reference should be cited in the 3rd-5th sentence in the 'Lighting at night ' section. 3. It would be better if the letters in the description of the CLEERE STUDY were defined.(Gene- Environmental interaction) 4. The relationship between Vitamin D and nearsightedness mentioned in the abstract section can also be explained in the 'Lighting at night ' section. 5. 5. It can be mentioned in the discussion section that early diagnosis and treatment can contribute to preventing the progression of nearsightedness in children 	
Optional/General comments	It is a good review in terms of the etiology of myopia and the approaches that can be taken to prevent it.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Özge Sevik
Department, University & Country	Biga State Hospital, Turkey