

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79835
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON HYPERGLYCEMIA: SYMPTOMS AND RISK FACTORS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Summarily I believe every section of the manuscript wasn't properly written—</p> <p>The author(s) claimed this manuscript is for a review article but stated results as if it were a manuscript for research article. Unfortunately, yet, the methods used to arrive the stated results were not clearly stated.</p> <p>The results stated in the subsection of the abstract is different from the one stated in the result section of the manuscript body.</p> <p>There are too many grammatical and typo errors in the manuscript.</p> <p>Except the background, all other subsections of the abstract are not clear.</p> <p>The author(s) did not cite any reference for the “symptoms” and “risk factor” sections which are the core areas of this supposed review.</p> <p>The citing of references 9-18 under “prevention” section appears like a gross misuse of references. There are many irrelevant references in the reference list.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The World Health Organization's gift of septic shock occurs at a lower rate, and people have developed the World Health Organization, a self-administered gift of traditional blood sugar levels of 72 hours while not requiring hypoglycemic agent administration”</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>” the coated statement is found in the result subsection of abstract--Even though this statement is not clear.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>The Author(s) made mention of patients both in the results subsection of the abstract and the result section of the main body of the said article. Even though, the figures presented in both sections are contradictory, there should be some reference to the ethical approval for the research that led to those results in the method section---there was none.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rasheed B. Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Kwara State University, Malete, Nigeria