

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79835
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON HYPERGLYCEMIA: SYMPTOMS AND RISK FACTORS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author of this article did not follow the PRISMA guide which is widely recommended for this type of study. 2. This study does not clearly reveal its methodology for a review article. 3. It is not clear also how data was collected and analysed. 4. There are countless instances where the author did not adhere to correct scientific nomenclature. 5. Of about 18 articles in the reference list, the author did not use up to 50% of them. There are numerous claims made with no ref. 6. From the introduction right down to conclusion, there is not proper flow of information. There is no consistency in the work. 7. The conclusion is independent of the entire work. The author did not formulate the conclusion to answer the needs of the research question as well as its objective. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article was not properly edited before now. There are lots and lots of spelling and grammatical errors. 2. The author did not bring out the limitations of this study. 3. Values were not reported in the conventional manner. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Generally the research area is one which is very important to the scientific world and if the author can work on the quality of the article, it will be great. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Reading through the work especially in the Risk for measuring the hyperglycemia arear, the author gives the impression of talking about particular people He/She has had contact with.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moki Chris Usani
Department, University & Country	Biaka University Institute Buea (BUIB), Cameroon