

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79829
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative investigation of spot kit versus RTPCR in Covid active patients
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There are many sever and fatal words spelling and grammar mistakes.</li> <li>• Most results are not of significant values and not presented in good style.</li> <li>• The manuscript is written in very poor manner and random data from different areas and the results not put in a designed tables explaining the efficacy of each method in the diagnosis of COVID-19 disease.</li> <li>• No focusing on the idea and the aim of the study</li> <li>• The manuscript lakes many references that supported any idea. Also the problem of the review in not clearly understood.</li> <li>• The review article is poor English edited and need sever editing</li> <li>• No organized results of the research about the COVID-19.</li> <li>• The conclusion is a reflect of non-significant data or values of the manuscript</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• I <b>recommend to</b> resubmitted if correction of the mistakes in spelling and grammar with English editing.</li> <li>• Also rewritten the review in a good style and valuable data and conclusion with good presentation of the collected data.</li> <li>• All abbreviations should mention in full term at first time and then written as abbreviation.</li> <li>• All details ion the methods of data collection and search should be mention.</li> <li>• The opinion of the authors and interpretation of the obtained results from published researches should be clear and have a significant aim and constructive conclusion</li> <li>• Some of comments were mentioned in the reversed manuscript</li> </ul>	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Walid Saad Mousa
Department, University & Country	University of Sadat City, Egypt