

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79810
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE ON EXISTING MANAGEMENT OF DRY EYE DISEASE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>My comments are as follows: This review includes 4 parts. My major comment is that the last part should be more extended, including more information about the management of dry eye. In detail: Regarding the etiology and nature of DED, the OSDI is an important index that can be used as a screening tool and for evaluating treatment outcomes. Therefore, it should be pointed out. Regarding the management of dry eye I recommend a more detailed reference to different treatment approaches, with further elaboration on the existing difficulties in treating such a chronic condition. Targeting inflammation in dry eye is crucial and as such it should be highlighted. Apart from that, it is mandatory that the lid hygiene be referred as a potentially first step of management of dry eye. Therefore, aside from mentioning treatment modalities it is better to refer to the different steps that should be followed according to symptoms and signs, causative factors and correspondence to therapy. Additionally, there are different thermal pulsation systems that should be used in patients with meibomian gland dysfunction. Therefore, attention should be drawn by the authors to the new approaches, without however, failing to mention the previous ones, such as heating and warming the meibum and expressing it mechanically. Furthermore, tea tree oil for demodex should be mentioned. In conclusion, there is a need to explain the pros and cons of different therapies and make a more detailed reference to different aspects of therapy. What are your suggestions for the future? How can we improve the quality of life of patients suffering from dry eye?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>and how to establish reasonable patient confidence towards the management of DED. [8] . it is not explained how to establish that.</p> <p>Tear Film The whole paragraph should be rewritten. There are mistakes regarding the structure of phrases.</p> <p>Etiology and nature of DED Etiology and nature of DED Undrestanding the cacophony it is better to avoid this expression.</p> <p>The Omega-3 the authors should refer to the anti-inflammatory action of omega -3.</p> <p>[B] Artificial Tear Products what about the nanomicellular technology? LIFITEGRAST the authors should add more information about it</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meidani Alexandra
Department, University & Country	Medical Center Athens, Greece