

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79804
Title of the Manuscript:	AMELIORATING EFFECT OF THE PLANT EXTRACTS ON MYCOTOXINS IN POULTRY FEED
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors evaluated the efficiency of the antifungal activity of A. lebbeck, S. aromaticum and T. ammi plant extracts against the growth of A. parasiticus attributed to its polar secondary metabolites. The results are interesting as the extracts inhibited growth.</p> <p>The materials and methods section lacked the identification of the active components of the plant extracts. Furthermore, the comparison of extracts and their major compounds in inhibiting the growth of A. parasiticus attributed to its polar secondary metabolites was lacking.</p> <p>Despite the lack of these core competencies, the work has scientific merit, and these initial results should be made available to readers.</p> <p>All my comments and suggestions are in the manuscript file.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>All my questions, comments and suggestions are in the manuscript word file.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tarcísio Marcos Macedo Mota Filho
Department, University & Country	Universidade Estadual Paulista Júlio De Mesquita Filho, Brazil