

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79787
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on Meniere's Disease: Clinical Features Pathological Changes And Management
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Dear author, you propose a review article on Meniere's disease. It is an interesting proposal as there are still many lacks for diagnosis and treatment of this disease.</p> <p>I suggest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Make a good review for English, as many sentences are difficult to be read.</li> <li>- characterize your review better, which were the keywords you used in your search strategy, make clear which were the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the articles you found, build a flow chart (Prisma) how many articles you found in each database, how many were excluded and why, how many were included finally.</li> <li>- make sure for whom the article is written, sometimes it looks as been written for patients (language, style....as you are submitting to a scientific journal it is supposed to be a review for your pairs.</li> <li>- Bring a list of the included articles and their important findings</li> <li>- Your conclusion is a summary of your results.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Major review	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Silke Weber</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>FMB-UNESP, Brazil</b>