

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79785
Title of the Manuscript:	PROBLEMS REGARDING POLYPHARMACY IN ELDERLY IN INDIAN POPULATION
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Current study with an important theme, considering that morbidity and mortality related to drug incidents is significant worldwide and many of them can be related to polypharmacy - It should be important to improve the description of some mandatory study components as the aims, type of study and methodology. A description of these components is vague and subjective, making it difficult for the reader to understand. - The study title refers to problems regarding polypharmacy in elderly in Indian population. However, the Indian population conditions are little addressed. It would be important to report in more depth the characteristics of the health system, economic and social conditions related to the practice of polypharmacy in the country, if this is related to the objective of the study. - It is important to highlight whether there were limitations to the study 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some authors must be cited in references or in body text. There are data about statistical information where the font isn't cited, or secondary articles cited, disregarding the original study. - In abstract there is a relation with polypharmacy and nursing homes, but it isn't approached in the text. I suggest that this excerpt be removed from the abstract. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The article did not involve direct data from people or institutions participating in the study. Thus, the need for an ethical approval document or similar was dispensed with. However, it is important to define more clearly some steps to select the studies used in the text and reference some excerpts.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Laura Biral Cortes
Department, University & Country	Federal Fluminense University, Brazil