

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79761
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF ANXIETY DISORDER AMONG MBBS UNDER GRADUATE STUDENTS DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC IN TAMILNADU
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The scope and significance of the study was very limited because it was a descriptive study with only one active study variable (Anxiety disorder). Since the sample was also limited, it limited the scope further. The whole study can be summarized as 'Yes, Medical students experiences some anxiety during COVID'. The basic analyses did not provide further levels of interpretation. No attempts were made to determine if the reported anxiety symptoms were specific to COVID period, and different from normal spells of anxiety faced by medical students. Thus, study was low in novelty, significance or scope. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The data analyses conducted felt opportunistic rather than genuine. There were limitations in the scope of analyses given only one interval level variable; however associating the anxiety scores across all available categorical (socio demographic) variables did not seem very genuine, but redundant actually. The available data needs to be reported and represented better, especially the prevalence across the 10 sub categories/symptoms. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript also requires significant editing and proof reading to correct for issues related to English language use and formatting. There were many instances of spelling typos, clustered sentences, incomplete words/phrases, incorrect tense use, etc. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prakat Karki
Department, University & Country	CHRIST (Deemed to be) University, India