

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79729
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCONSTITUENTS ISOLATION AND HEPATOPROTECTIVE ACTIVITY POTENTIAL OF AVERRHOA BILIMBI LEAF EXTRACT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The study is a novel work but the finding has not been presented in a scientific manner. 2. Manuscript title need to be rewritten to look more scientific and appealing. 3. The introduction part needs some relevant, latest and area specific literature. 4. Entire manuscript needs to be checked for grammatical mistakes. 5. Abstract need to be written properly as per Journal Guidelines and also as per the materials and methods used, results obtained. 6. There is no mention of column chromatography, IR, UV and NMR studies in the Material & Methods section, however, the data has been presented in the results section. 7. Results should be presented in a tabular format wherever applicable. 8. There is no corresponding finding under the results section as mentioned in Materials and Methods section (Preliminary Phytochemical Screening) 9. If the efficacy was checked for ethanolic extract, what was the need of having other extract in the manuscript when no studies were related to it. 10. Acute toxicity data has not been presented under the result section. 11. There is no mention of statistics under Materials and methods section. 12. Some parts of results are presented under materials and methods. 13. Some parts of results are presented under discussion section. 14. There should not be subsection numbering in the discussion section. It should be uniform. 15. Discussion needs to be more elaborative. 16. Reference style is not as per journal Guidelines, so to be arranged accordingly. <p>Please refer to the following article while writing your manuscript:</p> <p>Syed SN, Rizwi W, Kumar A, et al. Antioxidant and hepatoprotective activity of ethanol extract of <i>Valeriana wallichii</i> in CCl₄ treated rats. <i>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</i> 2014; 4: 1004–1013.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript has not been written in a proper scientific way, there is results mismatch with the methodology used. Very poorly written introduction and discussion part. References are not conforming with the journal's guidelines.</p> <p>.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashish Kumar
Department, University & Country	All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), India