

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79700
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness of the general population about the causes, management and prevention of gastro duodenal ulcer in Arar City, Northern Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>ABSTRACT:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please mention the highlights of your findings, and not the briefing of all your findings, also focussing on the significant ones.</li> <li>2. Please keep your Abstract concise.</li> <li>3. Keep the keywords specific and precise, please. For example, keep your keywords as 'gastric ulcer', 'duodenal ulcer' rather than 'gastric and duodenal ulcer'.</li> </ol> <p><b>INTRODUCTION:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Kindly mention the consistency with other studies and the corelations in the Discussion section, and please try to keep a generalized approach while mentioning the risk factors. Also, citing such statements is equally important unless the statement is a well-known fact.</li> <li>2. Please try to write the abbreviations in the bracket just next to the full form, when used first in the manuscript.</li> </ol> <p><b>METHODOLOGY:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. While writing a paper, it is important to realize that the sections written in concise and focussed manner, and in paragraph formats, are always preferred. It is in contrast to thesis writing where most of the text needs elaboration, following headings and subheadings.</li> </ol> <p><b>DISCUSSION:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 'non-significant relationship' is 'no relationship' on the grounds of statistics. Please state / modify this part accordingly.</li> <li>2. Please try to find out and evaluate the reasons behind the variation you have noticed in your study, as compared to other standardised studies. Author(s) have beautifully done this concerning the higher number of female participants in this study. Similar attention is required to highlight other findings as well.</li> </ol> <p><b>REFERENCES:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Referencing system does not follow the Journal guidelines completely.</li> <li>2. Over one-third of the references relate to 2011 or before even when multiple similar studies have been performed in last 10 years over the same topic.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript needs to be a revision of English language using an online software or by a professional / native English speaker.</li> <li>2. 'Concise' is the key to an effective research article.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The study has been well formulated and is structured beautifully, although similar studies have been performed already in plenty. If the authors try to enunciate out-of-the-box findings or approach, that will definitely put the reviewers and reader in favour of the study.</p>	

## Review Form 1.6

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Swati Sarswat</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Gajara Raja Medical College, India</b>