

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79699
Title of the Manuscript:	An Updates on Oral Appliances in Managing Obstructive Sleep Apnea
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Dear authors, your article gave a critical overview/appraisal of the use of oral devices of OSA treatment.</p> <p>There is no description of the aims of the study (what you would like to achieve by reviewing the literature) nor of the methods which were used to make the review. You also did not focus on the different types on oral devices, the last developments I suggest changing the title to Critical overview on oral appliances for the management of OSA.</p> <p>Also, I suggest reducing the long literature review for some landmarks in OSA diagnosis (not your focus).</p> <p>The comparison between Oral device and Tongue stabilization device is very good, very clear.</p> <p>I don't know if the step-by-step description of the production of the device should be focused (your aim was "managing OSA").</p> <p>I suggest to include the "unmet clinical needs" at the end of your discussion as proposals for new research.</p> <p>Several English words are wrong, some expressions in sleep medicine are wrong and should be corrected.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Silke Weber</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Botucatu Medical School, UNESP, Brazil</b>