

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79697
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of prevalence of periodontal disease in women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The review requires a major re-write. The concept is fine, however the approach is wrong. In order to prove causation, Hill's criteria needs to be satisfied. However, no attempt was made to satisfy even a single criteria. Moreover, there is a confusion between the aim of this review where authors claim to correlate the association between PCOS and Periodontal Disease and the conclusion where they claim to prove the bi-directional relationship. Although, both weren't proven.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arshad Hasan
Department, University & Country	Dow University Of Health Sciences, Pakistan