

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79694
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of the use of particulate graft versus block bone graft in ridge augmentation- A hospital based retrospective study
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction-4th paragraph, references from 13-32 are irrelevant to the study</p> <p>Materials and Method-IEC no not mentioned</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Statistical part has to mentioned appropriately (SPSS version, Association between which variables observed in the study) -Study setting, sampling method was not mentioned -how sample size determined was not mentioned -Statistical part was not appropriate(sufficient & relevant tests not mentioned) <p>Limitations-It is mentioned that all age groups were not included conversely in results part fig 1 represent all age groups</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract- It should start with introduction followed by Aim of the study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Statistical part should be written appropriately <p>Introduction-second paragraph, 5th line why author is mentioning terms like summarizing data in introduction part.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Materials and Method- only case sheets were utilized in the study. Moreover radiographs also plays a vital role in this type retrospective study.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Venkata Lakshmi
Department, University & Country	Vydehi Institute of Dental Sciences and Research Centre, India