

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79693
Title of the Manuscript:	In-vitro Antioxidant Activity and Preliminary Phytochemical Analysis of different leaf extracts of Hemionitis arifolia
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>There are several grammatical and English language errors in this manuscript. I recommend taking help from native English speaker, or someone have good scientific writing experience. Kindly rewrite this work with proper English (do not just use synonyms of words because each synonyms have its different value). The intended meaning got change with the wrong English grammar and language used in this work.</p> <p>I am unable to read and understand the complete work presented in this manuscript just because of irrelevant words and English language issue.</p> <p>There is no statistical analysis has been done for quantitative analysis and invitro analysis.</p> <p>The information of plant material authentication and collection site coordinates are not provided. Kindly provide them.</p> <p>In the introduction section Kindly provide the information of the medicinal properties with proper citations about this plant and mention if there is any study related to antioxidant and phytochemical analysis of various parts of this plant with all the details of parts used solvents used for extraction. Kindly explain that why this study has been planned.</p> <p>There is no term like %IC₅₀. Kindly correct it to %Inhibition throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>Discussion is very weak and need revision. Authors need to discuss the previous reports of this plant species and compare his work with previous reports.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Kindly check the spelling of words throughout the manuscript (such as alkaloids in method of abstract)</p> <p>The botanical name of plant should be in italics and space between the species and genus. Make this correction throughout the manuscript</p> <p>In results of abstract Kindly write only the results of this work (cancer work was not done so no need to write about cancer in results section)</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danish Iqbal
Department, University & Country	Majmaah University, Saudi Arabia