

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79689
Title of the Manuscript:	SPIRONOLACTONE IN DERMATOLOGY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Although this review brings interesting data regarding clinical applications of Spironolactone in the field of dermatology, it lacks of proper backbone regarding its organization and its relevance as a frontline review in an international journal.</p> <p>There are some comments :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The authors should present a graphical abstract of aldosterone & spironolactone pathways - Many data are given without appropriate references (for instance, the whole introduction refers to only 1 reference, which is from 2001...) - The authors should reorganize their review, starting from introduction (spironolactone and the aldosterone axis), aldosterone axis in dermatology, spironolactone in dermatology and finish with perspectives - A table should summarize the clinical trials published so far regarding spironolactone in dermatology 	
Minor REVISION comments	All acronyms should be defined (e.g. CYP)	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stanislas Quesada
Department, University & Country	Université De Montpellier, France