

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79606
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on Syphilis: Clinical manifestation and epidemiology
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>In this manuscript, the authors have reviewed syphilis, mainly focussing on the clinical manifestations and epidemiology.</p> <p>I find this manuscript deficient in many ways and it would need a major revision before being considered for publication.</p> <p>The following points need to be addressed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For a good review article, it should have the following headings: Introduction, Epidemiology, Clinical presentations, Laboratory tests and Diagnosis, Treatment. 2. The treatment needs to be included in this review, otherwise it would be incomplete. 3. The histopathological features should be included under laboratory tests and diagnosis. 4. In Epidemiology section, the authors have included some details about Covid 19, which seems totally irrelevant here. I suggest removing para 2,3,4 and 5 from this section. <p>In order for a review article to be good and worth reading, the authors would need to re-write it addressing the above points. Only then, it may be considered for publication.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Azeem Sheikh
Department, University & Country	Sandwell & West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust, United Kingdom