

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79603
Title of the Manuscript:	Brain Changing Advantages of Exercise
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract need to be rewritten as it has not been organized, the readers will not be able to understand the background, objectives, definite system of reviews and conclusion.</p> <p>Introduction needs elaboration that could highlight the literature about the objectives.</p> <p>The objective has not been framed well, it is not the objective, why is this paper aimed to write about.....</p> <p>Conclusion need the concise message about the manuscript.</p> <p>The whole manuscript texts do not have the order of a paper.</p> <p>Authors can mention Is it a short review paper?</p> <p>Objective should have the highlight</p> <p>Introduction should also include at the end why author chose to write up this paper?</p> <p>Conclusion need an explanation whether the findings of this paper fulfil;I the criteria or objective of this manuscript.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical errors are lot in the whole text	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pushpamala Ramaiah
Department, University & Country	Umm-Al Qura University, Saudi Arabia