

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79597
Title of the Manuscript:	Pathogenesis of Escherichia coli: A clinical findings
Type of the Article	Mini review

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Dear editor of Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b></p> <p>The manuscript of the review article entitled " Pathogenesis of Escherichia coli: A clinical findings" was reviewed. Due to similar review articles published in various journals on relevant subjects, the publishing of new articles in this issue is unreasonable, except when providing more comprehensive and updated data that the present manuscript doesn't contain these items. Moreover, this manuscript has many structural flaws that make it unsuitable for publication at the moment.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The content presented in the abstract is not suitable for this section, so it is better to provide this data in the introduction</li><li>2. The bacterial names should be written correctly and in italic form.</li><li>3. Phrases with abbreviations should be written in the complete form first in the text and then abbreviated. Also, abbreviations should not be used in the keywords.</li><li>4. The writing structure of the manuscript is more like a book and is not written based on the structural principles of a review article.</li><li>5. The content presented in the introduction is not appropriate and does not explain the author's purpose of this article.</li><li>6. Since the article is a review article, it is recommended to use more references, conveniently cited in the text of the article.</li><li>7. The manuscript has many typing and grammatical flaws that make it hard to follow by audiences and requires major revision.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Mohammad Mohammadzadeh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>