

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79596
Title of the Manuscript:	A Systemic Review of Pathological Findings in Covid-19 and Its Mechanism of Disease
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>What does author means by Systemic review?</p> <p>The manuscript submitted is not a systematic review as it does not fulfil the criteria of it.</p> <p>There is no article type as systemic review as far as my research knowledge goes. The article can be a review article but requires major revisions.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Firstly, there are lots of language & grammatical errors- suggest that a language editor be consulted. 2. Several information needs to be updated for eg. Delta variant principally infects birds but the recent trends of transmission should be mentioned; "need for effective vaccine" if this statement is being made then author should tell about the limitations of the vaccines already available and why he/she feels that it is ineffective. 3. The author mentions treatment modalities at some places but not for all. The treatment and management strategy mentioned are incomplete regarding anticoagulation, antifibrotics, Steroids, antivirals and respiratory support. Suggestions as the article is on pathological finding & mechanism, the author can either omit the management part completely and if wants to include, it should be complete and updated with the evidence. 4. Table 1 showing the clinical symptoms of COVID19 also needs corrections. The symptoms should be listed as per the frequency; common symptoms like loss of taste & loss of smell are not included in the table. The author, if wants to do a systematic review, then should refer to several articles and list symptoms as per their frequency; palpitations & thoracic oppression are separate symptoms. 5. There are several terms used which doesn't have the required meaning there or are incorrect for eg; basic sickness, intense spread encephalomyelitis, CFC proceedings and many more such terms. 6. The title needs revision as it is not a systematic review. The author may refer to the guidelines for systematic review. <p>Finally, the topic taken is of interest and due to the evolving evidence in the disease, is of importance too. Requires major revision before it can be accepted.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bidita Khandelwal
Department, University & Country	Sikkim Manipal University, India