

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79587
Title of the Manuscript:	Advancements in Diagnostics and Treatments of Helicobacter Pylori
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The topic is very interesting but the authors have not done enough effort, mainly in term of literature search, to address it.</p> <p>First, there is a part of the manuscript describing microbiology of enterohepatic Helicobacter which I think is not relevant. The review should have focussed on Helicobacter pylori as indicted in the title.</p> <p>The part dedicated to diagnosis of H. pylori infection should be divided in two: invasive and non-invasive tests, detailing each of them with their advantages and limitations.</p> <p>This manuscript is entitled "<b>Advancements in Diagnostics and Treatments of Helicobacter Pylori</b>", but after reading the entire manuscript, the content does not match the title, as advanced diagnosis and treatment techniques do not clearly appear. The most important parts in my opinion could be vaccination and gene sequencing techniques, as these are relatively new insights in the field. They should be more emphasised and well referenced.</p> <p>The part concerning phytotherapy should be seriously developed. There are dozens of plant extract, fractions or compounds with anti-Helicobacter pylori properties reported and easily findable in the literature. So, citing only two compounds is insignificant.</p> <p>It is widely accepted in scientific community that most of references comprised in a review article should five years old or less. This is not clearly the case in this manuscript and could explain some disputable assertions contained inside the latter. Serious efforts should be made in that way.</p> <p>Also, authors have a curious way to indicate references. Most of time, 3 or 4 references are indicated at the end of an entire paragraph. This makes it almost impossible to know which statement belongs to which author and also complicates the work towards readers who would like to cite them. The entire manuscript must be referenced properly.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Other comments were directly inserted in the manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gaël Tchokomeni Siwe
Department, University & Country	University of Yaoundé I, Cameroon