

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79564
Title of the Manuscript:	STABILITY INDICATING HPLC METHOD FOR ESTIMATION OF THYMOQUINONE IN NASAL SIMULATED FLUID: METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This study has discussed about innovative method of administering drugs, however in the discussion section there are no reports/state of use in humans before. The authors can add references that are relevant to previous human applications to be included in discussion section.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Kindly add the reference from where Figure 1 was obtained.2. Kindly add the Authors can add the strength of this study3. Kindly compare the results on this study with previous relevant study, to ensure that your study have a basis and increase the value of evidence base.4. Kindly add the recommendations for further research work more clearly	
Optional/General comments	Thank you for the opportunity for review this article. I really appreciate the interesting work by the authors. However, I highly believe that revisions must be conducted first before the manuscript is considered further for publication. I have added my suggestions/comments on compulsory and minor revision comment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agung Riyanto Budi Santoso
Department, University & Country	Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia