

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79547
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation Of Cephalic Index of Population of Central India.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is an extensive review of cephalic index of central Indian population. The title of the study is not appropriate. The word "estimation" should be avoided since the authors did not do any estimation of cephalic index. The authors have studied the manuscripts related to the cephalic index estimated all over the world. There are not specific articles related to central indian population. The methodology must clearly explain how many articles related to the cephalic index calculation of central indian population were studied, from where they were obtained, what was the inclusion and exclusion criterion? What were the observations etc. after making a table of this, then the authors must compare the findings with other parts of the world if they wish.</p> <p>This manuscript really needs a major revision before it could be accepted. The authors must specifically study articles published about central indian population and rewrite their review.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satheesha Nayak B
Department, University & Country	Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India