

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_79538 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Brief review on Shatavari (Asparagus Racemosus) And Its Medicinal Uses |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The methodology used to prepare your review article is missing. - The manuscript should be reorganized in chapters as suggested in the diagram. - "MAIN TEXT" should be removed and start to put the main titles of the chapters you decided to review - The text needs writing revision - All text needs a linguistic revision - It is difficult for the reader to understand the contents of the manuscript because of the style used and errors in writing. - The objective should be linked to the introduction chapter and not be considered as an independent title. - As a review article, the bibliographic references should be distributed throughout the manuscript - The scientific information compiled in the work is interesting but the way it is written and presented takes all the merit out of the work. - I suggest that it be restructured and divided into chapters and subchapters compiling similar content together. - The conclusion is weak | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | François Munyemana |
| Department, University & Country | Eduardo Mondlane University, Mozambique |