

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79534
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Affecting Uptake of Vitamin D In Gastro-Intestinal Tract
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a very small and non-coherent mini-review article with strange sentences (for instance, "The increased cases of vitamin D deficiency is a significant prevalent ailment caused by vitamin D deficiency", just to mention a few form many) which in some ways appears more like a draft than a complete manuscript in that there are multiple typos and sentences that are lacking a word or mix tenses. Current literature is replete with review articles with similar theme with brilliant quality. Many physiological/dietary aspects are not mentioned at all and present coverage of the paper is not acceptable.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reza Rastmanesh
Department, University & Country	Iran