

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79514
Title of the Manuscript:	Exfoliative cytology- Need of The Hour.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>There are six pictures in the figure. There is an explanation of the figure in the text, but I don't know which photo is the explanation. The six pictures are numbered with panels (eg, A, B F), and the description of the figure is in the text of what is shown in Figure 1A and what is shown in Figure 1B. You should do it.</p> <p>What are the numbers after Consequences, Observations and Indications for avoidance? If it is a reference number, match it with the way the referred article is written.</p> <p>Does "no blood" in The benefit mean that blood collection is unnecessary? Also, the next line, normal, is unclear.</p> <p>Isn't the word "mass screening" in 7 of The Benefits a little different in meaning? Usually, it is "examination of a large population to detect the manifestation of a disease in order to initiate treatment or prevent spread, as part of a public health campaign". Will the author intend to do this cytology for almost everyone in the general population? With normal mass screening, it is possible to use the rest of the blood sample collected at the same time. However, this cytology must perform a procedure only for this purpose.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Avoid unnecessary line breaks. Line breaks in the middle of a word are not good.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>It is a compact mini-review with a new perspective. It is necessary to solve some problems.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Takemi Otsuki
Department, University & Country	Kawasaki Medical School, Japan