

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79451
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on Septal Correction: Review of literature and clinical findings
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	A "Results" chapter is important to present the number of studies involved in this article and present the results before discussion. We have noticed that 2 references are not formatted according to JPRI style <ul style="list-style-type: none">- reference 1: date of publication not mentioned for the book- reference 2: incomplete reference to web resource.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The first paragraph about anatomy in the introduction is not necessary.- Septal perforation has to be mentioned as possible post-operative complication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lame Cheikh Ahmedou
Department, University & Country	Hopital Principal de Dakar, Senegal