

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79451
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on Septal Correction: Review of literature and clinical findings
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Better to use the term septal deviations than the septal defect. Septal defects includes perforation mainly which is not covered in this topic. Line of management of these defect is completely different. Clear cut indications for rhinoplasty and septoplasty to be mentioned.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good article needs minor corrections.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashwini Godse
Department, University & Country	BMCRI - Bangalore Medical College and Research Institute, India