

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79449
Title of the Manuscript:	Adherence to Pharmacological Treatment and Factors Affecting the Adherence Among Hypertensive Patients Attending Primary Health Care Centers in Jazan, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	State the background, objective, methodology, result and conclusion part in a clear and separate manner. Correct those language problems on this part e.g., we. Nothing is said about data collection procedure (sampling technique). Please try to add something about it. Clearly write operational definition of the document There is no clear reason why you left 214 of the calculated sample size on your document. Please try to write your reason clearly. Write title of the figure at the foot of the figure.	
Minor REVISION comments	Write the odds ratios of those factors associated with pharmacologic adherence during logistic regression analysis. Merge study area with the study setting On data analysis; deal more on data entry, and criteria's that you have used to recruit variables into multivariate analysis after bivariate analysis.	
Optional/General comments	As a general comment this is a good paper needing some modifications in terms of language, and some editing problems like; proper addressing of research components on the abstract as well as on the main document.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adane Birhanu Nigat
Department, University & Country	Debre tabor university, Ethiopia